

# **American Nuclear Society**

**April 1999** 

# Technical Brief for ANS Position Statement on the Health Effects of Low-Level Radiation

# **BACKGROUND**

Current radiation policy is based on two premises: First, the Linear No-Threshold Hypothesis (LNTH), which presumes that detrimental health effects of radiation are linearly proportional to the radiation dose, regardless of dose-rate, down to zero dose. Second, the idea of Collective Dose, which presumes that extremely small doses in an exposed population can be added up to predict a statistical number of deaths. Since no radiation level is considered small enough to be harmless, the requirement of ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) naturally arises and is applied to ever-lower doses.

These basic premises have never been adequately justified scientifically, and contradictory evidence has been dismissed. NCRP-121, the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements' 106-page definitive report (NCRP 1995) on the use of LNT to calculate collective dose, justifies this position as follows:

Few experimental studies, and essentially *no human data*, can be said to prove or *even to provide direct support* for the concept of collective dose with its implicit uncertainties of nonthreshold linearity and dose-rate independence with respect to risk. The *best* that can be said is that most studies do not provide quantitative data that, with statistical significance, contradict the concept of collective dose. Ultimately, confidence in the linear no-threshold dose-response relationship at low doses is based on our understanding of the basic mechanisms involved. ...It is *conceptually possible*, but with a vanishingly small probability, that any of these effects *could* result from the passage of a single charged particle, causing damage to DNA that *could* be expressed as a mutation or small deletion. It is a result of this type of reasoning that a linear nonthreshold dose-response relationship *cannot be excluded*. [emphasis added]

If this is the best that can be said in defense of the LNTH, then research yielding contradictory evidence should be encouraged and given very careful attention.

# AMERICAN NUCLEAR SOCIETY SESSIONS

In 1994, the American Nuclear Society began exploring in detail the basis of the health effects of exposures to low level radiation. Special technical sessions were held at ANS national meetings beginning in November 1994 and they continue today. The objective of these sessions is to provide a forum for discussion of the basis for current models used to characterize health effects of low-level radiation. Internationally known experts in the field presented papers at these



sessions. Attachment A to this background paper summarizes these sessions and provides relevant references to the work discussed. The information presented supports two important conclusions that are discussed below.

First, there does not appear to be good scientific evidence indicating deleterious health effects of radiation below a few tens of centiGrays, or rads, per year. Radiation, like some other physical assaults on the body, may tend at low levels to stimulate the body's defenses and prove beneficial.

Policy-makers do not appear to have drawn on this low-level radiation health effects data in formulating public policy. Some studies, which the government has funded initially, have for some reason been dropped or not published. These studies deserve careful peer review and should be subject to public scrutiny. Examples are the Oak Ridge mega-mouse studies, and the \$10 million nuclear shipyard worker study that was completed in 1987.

The ANS is issuing a Policy Statement and this Technical Brief to lend support to statements by the Health Physics Society; the Wingspread Conference; the International Nuclear Societies Council; the French Academy of Science; the Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and others. Top priority should be given to conducting a full and open scientific review and evaluation of the scientific evidence, accompanied by the initiation of new research, with the aim of quickly bringing radiation policy into line with the best scientific data and theory.

# LOW-LEVEL NATURAL RADIATION BACKGROUND:

# VARIATIONS AND HEALTH EFFECTS

Natural radioactivity occurs ubiquitously in the environment. Natural radioactivity is also concentrated and released from burning coal, oil, gas and wood, from mining, plowing, and construction activities, from well-drilling (for water, oil, etc.), from geothermal energy, and other sources. Large geographic variations cause wide variations in natural radiation exposure (UNSCEAR 1993, NCRP 1987, Eisenbud 1997). Natural radioactivity discharged to the air, to groundwater, to surface water and the oceans, greatly exceeds artificially produced sources discharged to the environment (See UNSCEAR 1993, NCRP 1987). Populations in areas with high background radiation rates show no adverse health effects when compared to low-dose populations. Several studies of large populations with significant differences in doses indicate beneficial health effects, i.e., lower mortality and disease rates (Frigerio 1973, Sandquist 1997-US background; Cohen 1987, 1989, 1992, 1995, 1996; Bogen-1998; Jagger 1998-US radon; Kondo 1993-Misasa Spa, Japan; Wei 1997, Jaworowski 1995b-China; Luckey 1991-comprehensive).

Experiments that suppress background radiation in small organisms show that exposure below

**April 1999** 



background causes a detriment in biological functions (Planel 1987; Luckey 1980, 1982, 1986, 1991; Jaworowski 1995a). No readily identifiable studies of suppressed background radiation show beneficial effects from such "radiation protection". Further studies are needed to confirm such detrimental effects in mammals.

#### HEALTH EFFECTS FROM GREATER-THAN-NATURAL RADIATION: EPIDEMIOLOGY

Large human and animal populations have been exposed to radiation doses greater than the highest natural background radiation. Data are available on significant human exposures from medical and occupational sources, from the use of radium and radiology in the early 20th century, from the atomic bombs in Japan, and in public exposures in Russia from radioactivity releases from weapons plants and waste storage accidents.

From high radiation doses, such human populations exhibit some adverse health effects, primarily increases in cancer rates. For Japanese bomb survivors, there were no excess colon cancers below 100 centiGray, or 100 rad. (BEIR V 1990). Among the hundreds of US radium dial painters in the 1920s who received more than 1000 centiGray internal doses, there are about 65 cases of bone cancer. There were no excess cancers in the nearly 2000 measured cases with doses below 1000 centiGray (Rowland 1983, 1997, Maletskos 1994, Thomas 1994, 1995, Raabe 1994, 1995, White 1994).

With moderate dose, no adverse health effects and no deaths have been reported, while evidence of beneficial health effects has been documented (Yalow 1994; Shimizu 1988, 1990, 1992a,b; Kondo 1993; Mine 1990-in Japanese survivors; Miller 1989; Pollycove 1994-in fluoroscopy patients; Luckey 1981, 1982, 1991, 1995, 1997; Abbatt 1983; Matanoski 1991-in radiation workers; Jaworowski 1995a). Animal research data confirm the absence of adverse effects, and the presence of beneficial effects (Luckey 1980, 1982, 1986, 1991, 1994; Lorenz 1950, 1954; Henry 1961; Brown 1963, 1964; Spalding 1981, 1982; Caratero 1998). Animals that have been bred to be tumorigenic, with minimal immune function, have been inappropriately cited as evidence that mammals are not benefited by low-dose and low-dose-rate radiation exposure (Luckey 1991).

# **BIOLOGY**

Recent molecular and cellular biology and cancer research provides insights with respect to the hypothesis that radiation is harmful at low doses (Hattori 1996; Trosko 1995, 1996; Kondo 1993; Makinodan 1995; Wolff 1996; Feinendegen 1996; Liu 1997; Sakamoto 1996; Ohnishi 1995).

The LNTH was justified by arguing that a single nuclear ray or particle could damage DNA and lead to cancer and that the likelihood of cancer incidence was directly proportional to the number of such rays or particles intercepted by the body. DNA in each cell normally undergoes more than 100,000 alterations/day from oxidative metabolism and other normal bodily functions.

Health Effects of Low-Level Radiation Technical Brief for Position Statement 41 **April 1999** 



Normal repair processes dramatically decrease the probability of harm as a result of this DNA damage. DNA damage from low-level radiation is insignificant compared with this normal damage rate. Some experts have concluded that radiation-induced DNA mutations at many times background levels do not contribute to an increase in normal cancer rates (Pollycove 1997, 1998; Bond 1994; Weilopolski 1996; Feinendegen 1996; Billen 1990; Ward 1988).

Radiation tends to create a higher percentage of double strand breaks than other metabolic damage mechanisms in the DNA molecule. These double breaks are harder to repair. However, even after allowance for this fact, the total number of unrepaired or misrepaired DNA molecules from low-level radiation damage are insignificant compared with those from normal metabolism. Research and biological knowledge of molecular and cellular mechanisms confirm that cancer is a highly complex multi-step process. This makes unlikely the hypothesis that low-level radiation, or any contributor to DNA damage, can contribute linearly to cancer incidence (including linear-quadratic) (Pollycove 1997, 1998; Trosko 1996; Hattori 1996, 1997; Kondo 1993).

Further, many studies of the most significant biological repair mechanisms for both DNA and cell damage show that low-to-moderate radiation exposures stimulate these repair mechanisms. This is consistent with identified beneficial effects from supplemental radiation (Luckey 1980, 1982, 1986, 1991, 1996, 1997; UNSCEAR 1994; Feinendegen 1996; Jaworowski 1995a, 1995b; Hattori 1996, 1997; Liu 1997; Sakamoto 1996; Ohnishi 1995). In addition, theoretical models of molecular and cellular carcinogenesis processes are consistent with these data. For example, the evidence of reduced lung cancer in high-radon areas is consistent with biological models of damage-repair stimulatory effects (Pollycove 1994; Bogen 1998; Leonard 1996; Fleck 1997).

#### Attachment A

ANS Sessions on Low Level Radiation Health Effects – 1994-1999

At the American Nuclear Society's **November 1994 Winter Meeting** in Washington DC, two special sessions were held on **Low-Level Radiation Health Effects: Current Data** and **Programs** covering actual dose-response data and applicable research programs with 10 papers and two panel presentations. (TRANSACTIONS of the American Nuclear Society, Vol.71)

Two sessions on **Low-Level Radiation Health Effects**, one on the above topics and one on **Policies and Cost/Benefits**, were held at the **June 1995 40th Annual ANS Meeting** in Philadelphia PA, with eight papers and two panel discussions. The ANS President-elect, Members representing the Health Physics Society (HPS), and the President and a Member of the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) made panel presentations at these sessions. (TRANSACTIONS of the American Nuclear Society, Vol.72)

At the October-November 1995 ANS Winter Meeting in San Francisco CA, four sessions on



Low-Level Radiation Health Effects with 14 papers were held on the above topics, plus Biology Research and Beneficial Effects and a very large Panel on Needs for Research, Organization and Communication, and Corrective Actions. (TRANSACTIONS of the American Nuclear Society, Vol.73) The ANS Wilhelm Roentgen Radiology Centennial Award and Lecture was presented by Professor Emeritus Myron Pollycove, MD, U. Cal. San Francisco, Radiology and Clinical Medicine, retired Head of Nuclear Medicine at San Francisco Medical Center, and NRC Visiting Medical Fellow.

In conjunction with the November 1996 ANS Winter Meeting in Washington DC, an Embedded Topical Meeting on Low-Level Radiation Health Effects was held. The Embedded Topical Meeting included six sessions and 28 papers on Biological Research Confirming Beneficial Effects, Biological and Epidemiological Data and the LNT Model, Human Data from Radium and Radon Exposures, Applying Science and Data to Setting Standards and Costs versus Human Benefits of Radiation Protection. In addition, a large Panel discussed Research Work and Policy Initiatives Needed to Effect Change. (TRANSACTIONS of the American Nuclear Society, Vol.75)

At the June **1997 ANS Annual Meeting** in Orlando FL the **Low-Level Radiation Health Effects** sessions were one Panel session on **Programs and Progress** and the ANS President's Special Session on **Applying Science to Change the Rules**. (TRANSACTIONS of the American Nuclear Society, Vol.76)

At the November **1997 ANS Winter Meeting** in Albuquerque NM there was one General session on **Low-Level Radiation Health Effects** (TRANSACTIONS of the American Nuclear Society, Vol.77) At the **June 1999 ANS Annual Meeting** in Boston MA, three paper sessions and two Panel sessions with 15 papers are scheduled on **Low-Level Radiation Health Effects**.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Abbatt, J. D.; Hamilton, T.R.; Weeks, J.L. (1983) Epidemiological studies in three corporations covering the Canadian nuclear fuel cycle. In: Biological effects of low-level radiation, proceedings of an International Atomic Energy Agency conference. Vienna: IAEA: IAEA-STI/PUB 646: 351-361.
- 2. Billen, D. (1990) Spontaneous DNA damage and its significance for the "negligible dose" controversy in radiation protection. Radiation Research 124:242-245.
- 3. Bogen, K.T. (1998) Mechanistic model predicts u-shaped relation of radon exposure to lung cancer risk reflected in combined occupational and U.S. residential data. BELLE Newsletter 7(2) Nov 1998.
- 4. Bond, V. P. (1994) The implausible current interpretations of radiobiological linear, non-threshold functions. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 13-17 November 1994, Washington, D.C. 71:43-44.

**April 1999** 



- 5. Brown, S.O.; Krise, G. M.; Page, H.B. (1963) Continuous low-dose radiation effects successive litters of the albino rats. Radiation Research 19:270-276.
- 6. Brown, S.O.; Krise, G. M.; Page, H.B.; deBoer, J. (1964) Effect of continuous radiation on reproductive capacity and fertility of the albino rat and mouse. In: Carlson, W. D.; Gassner, F.X., ed. Effects of ionizing radiation on reproductive system, proceedings of the International Symposium on the Effects of Ionizing Radiation on the Reproductive System, 1962, Colorado State University. New York, NY: Pergamon Press: 103-110.
- 7. Caratero, A., Courtade, M., Bonnet, L. Planel, H., Caratero, C. (1998) Effect of a continuous gamma irradiation at a very low dose on the life span of mice. Gerontology, 44, 272-276.
- 8. Cohen, B.L. (1987) Tests of the linear, no-threshold dose-response relationship for high-LET radiation. Health Phys. 52:629-636.
- 9. Cohen, B.L. (1989) Expected indoor 222Rn levels in counties with very high and very low lung cancer rates. Critical Reviews in Environmental Control 57:897-907.
- 10. Cohen, B.L. (1992) Compilation and integration of studies of radon levels U.S. homes by states and counties. Critical Reviews in Environmental Control 22:243-364.
- 11. Cohen, B.L. (1995) Test of the linear no-threshold theory of radiation carcinogenesis for inhaled radon decay products. Health Phys. 68:157-174.
- 12. Cohen, B.L. (1996) Problems in the radon versus lung cancer test of the linear no-threshold theory and a procedure for resolving them. Health Phys. 72:623-628.
- 13. Cohen, B.L.; Colditz, G. A. (1994) Tests of the linear no-threshold theory for lung cancer induced by exposure to radon. Environmental Research 64:65-89.
- 14. Eisenbud, M. (1997) Environmental radioactivity. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- 15. Feinendegen, L.E. (1996) The linear, no-threshold hypothesis linking health effects to tissue absorbed dose appears principally inapplicable at low doses. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 10-14 November 1996, Washington, D.C. 75:408-410.
- 16. Fleck, C.M. et al. (1997) A two phase dose-response relationship at low dose rates. In: Low doses of ionizing radiation: Biological effects and control. Vienna: IAEA: IAEA-TECDOC-976 (IAEA-CN-67/126): 239-242.
- 17. Frigerio, N. A.; Eckerman, K.F.; Stowe, R. S. (1973) Carcinogenic hazard from low-level, low-rate radiation, part I, rep. Argonne, IL: Argonne Nat. Lab.: ANL/ES-26.
- 18. Hattori, S. (1996) Research status on radiation hormesis at CRIEPI. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 10-14 November 1996, Washington, D.C. 75:403.
- 19. Hattori, S. (1997) State of research and perspective on adaptive response to low doses of ionizing radiation in Japan. In: Low doses of ionizing radiation: Biological effects and regulatory control. Vienna: IAEA:
- 20. IAEA-TECDOC-976 (IAEA-CN-67/126): 402-405. Henry, H.F. (1961) Is all nuclear radiation harmful? J. Am. Med. Assoc. 176:671-675.
- 21. Jagger, J. (1998) Natural background radiation and cancer death in Rocky Mountain states and Gulf Coast states. Health Phys. 75:428-430.
- 22. Jaworowski, Z. (1995a) Beneficial radiation. Nukleonika 40:3-11.



- 23. Jaworowski, Z. (1995b) Stimulating effects of ionizing radiation: New issues for regulatory policy. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 22:172-179.
- 24. Kondo, S. (1993) Health effects of low-level radiation. Osaka, Japan: Kinki University Press; Madison, WI: Medical Physics Publishing Co.
- 25. Leonard, B. (1996) Nonlinear dose response model with repair and repair suppression. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 10-14 1996, Washington, D.C. 75:414-416.
- 26. Liu, S.Z. (1997) Cellular and molecular basis of the stimulators effect of low dose radiation on immunity. In: Wei, L.; Sugahara, T.; Tao, Z. High levels of natural radiation 1996: Radiation dose and health effects. Beijing, China: Elsevier: 341-353.
- 27. Lorenz, E. (1950) Some biologic effects of long-continued irradiation. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute: 176-185.
- 28. Lorenz, E.; Heston, W.E. (1954) Effects of long-continued total-body irradiation on mice, guinea pigs, and rabbits I. Preliminary Experiments. In: Zirkle, R. E. ed. Biological effects of external x and gamma radiation. New York: NY: McGraw-Hill: 1-11.
- 29. Luckey, T.D. (1980) Hormesis with ionizing radiation. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- 30. Luckey, T.D. (1982) Physiological benefits from levels of ionizing radiation. Health Phys. 43:771-789.
- 31. Luckey, T.D. (1986) Ionizing radiation promotes protozoan reproduction. Radiation Research 108:215-221.
- 32. Luckey, T.D. (1991) Radiation hormesis. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- 33. Luckey, T.D. (1994) A Rosetta stone for ionizing radiation. Rad. Protect. Mgmt. 11:73-79.
- 34. Luckey, T.D. (1995) Radioactive waste management for health. Rad. Protect. Mgmt. 12:53-60.
- 35. Luckey, T.D. (1997) Low-dose irradiation reduces cancer deaths. Rad. Protect. Mgmt. 14:58-64.
- 36. Makinodan, T. (1995) Low-level radiation effects on immune cells. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 29 October 2 November 1995, San Francisco, CA. 73:38-39.
- 37. Maletskos, C. J. (1994) Radium in man 20 years later. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 13-17 November 1994, Washington, D.C. 71:33.
- 38. Matanoski, G. M. (1991) Health effects of low-level radiation in shipyard workers final report. U.S. Department of Energy: No. DOE DE-AC02-79 (EV10095).
- 39. Miller, A. B.; Howe, G.R.; Sherman, G.J.; Lindsay, J. P.; Yaffe, M.J.; Dinner, P.J.; Risch, H.A.; Preston, D.L. (1989) Mortality from breast cancer after irradiation during fluoroscopic examination in patients treated for tuberculosis. N. Eng. J. Med. 321:1285-1289.
- 40. Mine, M.; Okumura, Y.; Ichimara, M.; Nakamura, T.; Kondo, S. (1990) Apparently beneficial effect of low to intermediate doses of A-bomb radiation on human lifespan. Int. J. Rad. Bio. 58:1035-1043.



- 41. National Academy of Sciences National Research Council Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR Committee). (1990) Health effects of exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation. Washington, DC: National Academy Press: BEIR V.
- 42. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. (1987) Ionizing radiation exposure of the population of the U.S. Bethesda, MD: NCRP: NCRP Report No. 93.
- 43. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. (1995) Principles and applications of collective dose in radiation protection. Bethesda, MD: NCRP: NCRP Report No. 121: 45.
- 44. Ohnishi, T. (1995) Signal transduction through p.53-dependent pathway after low-dose ionizing radiation. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 29 October 2 November 1995, San Francisco, CA. 73:37.
- 45. Planel, H.; Soleilhavoup, J. P.; Tixador, R.; Richoilly, G.; Conter, A.; Croute, F.; Caratero, C.; Gaubin, Y. (1987) Influence on cell proliferation on background radiation or exposure to very low, chronic g radiation. Health Phys. 52:571-578.
- 46. Pollycove, M. (1994) Positive health effects of low level radiation in human populations. In: Calabrese, E.J., ed. Biological effects of low-level exposures: Doseresponse relationships (BELLE), proceedings of the 1st BELLE conference. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers: 171-187.
- 47. Pollycove, M.; Feinendegen, L. (1998) Quantification of human total and mis/unrepaired DNA alterations: Intrinsic and radiation induced. To be published.
- 48. Pollycove, M.; Paperiello, C. J. (1997) Health effects of low-dose radiation: Molecular, cellular, and biosystem response. In: International Atomic Energy Agency. Low doses of ionizing radiation: Biological effects and regulatory control. Vienna: IAEA: IAEA-TECDOC-976 (IAEA-CN-67/63): 223-226.
- 49. Raabe, O.G. (1994) Three-dimensional models of risk from internally deposited radionuclides. In: Raabe, O.G., ed. Internal radiation dosimetry. Madison, WI: Medical Physics Publishing: 663-656.
- 50. Raabe, O.G.; Culbertson, M.R.; White, R.G.; Parks, N. J.; Spangler, W.S.; Samuels, S.J. (1995) Lifetime radiation effects in beagles injected with 226Ra as young adults. In: van Kaick, G.; Karaogou, A.; Kellerer, A.M., ed. Health effects of internally deposited radionuclides: Emphasis on radium and thorium. Singapore: World Scientific: 313 -318.
- 51. Rowland, R. E. (1997) Bone sarcoma in humans induced by radium: A threshold response? In: Radioprotection colloques, proceedings of the 27th annual meeting of the European Society for Radiation Biology. 32: C1/331-338.
- 52. Rowland, R. E., et al. (1983) Dose response relationships for radium-induced bone sarcomas. Health Phys. 44 Supp 1:15-31.
- 53. Sakamota, K.; Myojin, M. (1996) Fundamental and clinical studies on tumor control by total body irradiation. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 10-14 November 1996, Washington, DC 75:404.



- 54. Sanquist, G.; Kunze, J., et al. (1997) Assessing latent health effects from U.S. background radiation. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 16-20 November 1997, Albuquerque, NM. 77:57-59.
- 55. Shimizu, Y.; Kato, H.; Schull, W. J. (1988) Life span study report 11, Part 2, Cancer mortality in the years 1950-85 based on the recently revised doses (DS86). National Academy of Sciences: Radiation Effects Research Foundation, a cooperative Japan-United States research organization: RERF TR 5-88.
- 56. Shimizu, Y.; Kato, H.; Schull, W. J. (1990) Studies on the mortality of A-bomb survivors. 9, Mortality, 1950-1985: Part 2. Cancer mortality based on the recently revised doses (DS86). Radiation Research 121:120-141.
- 57. Shimizu, Y.; Kato, H.; Schull, W. J.; Hoel, D.G. (1992a) Studies of the mortality of A-bomb survivors. 9, Mortality, 1950-1985: Part 3. Non-cancer mortality based on the revised doses (DS86). Radiation Research 130:249-266.
- 58. Shimizu, Y.; Kato, H.; Schull, W. J.; Mabuchi, K. (1992b) Dose-response analysis among atomic-bomb survivors exposed to low-level radiation. In: Sugahara, T.; Sagan, L.; Aoyama, A., ed. Low dose irradiation and biological defense mechanisms, proceedings of the International Conference on Low Dose Irradiation and Biological Defense Mechanisms, 12-16 July 1992, Kyoto, Japan. Amsterdam, The Netherlands; New York, NY: Excerpta Medica: 71-74.
- 59. Spalding, J.F.; Brooks, M.R.; Tietjen, G. L. (1981) Comparative litter reproduction characteristics of mouse populations for 82 generations of X-irradiated male progenitors.
- In: Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology & Medicine 166:237-240.
- 60. Spalding, J.F.; Thomas, R.G.; Tietjen, G. L. (1982) Life span of C57 mice as influenced by radiation dose, dose rate, and age at exposure. Los Alamos, NM: Los Alamos Nat.Lab.: LA-9528 (UC-48).
- 61. Thomas, R.G. (1994) The US radium luminisers: A case for a policy 'below regulatory concern.' J. Rad. Protect. 14:141-153.
- 62. Thomas, R.G. (1995) Radiation is not always harmful to human health. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 25-29 June 1995, Philadelphia, PA. 72: 6.
- 63. Trosko, J.E. (1995) Can low-level radiation cause cancer? In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 29 October 2 November 1995, San Francisco, CA. 73:35-37
- 64. Trosko, J.E., (1996) Hierarchical/cybernetic nature of homeostatic adaptation to low level exposures to oxidative stress-inducing agents. Environmental Health Perspectives 106 Supp 1: 331-339.
- 65. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. (1993) Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. New York, NY: UNSCEAR: 1993 Report to the General Assembly.
- 66. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. (1994) Annex B: Adaptive responses to radiation in cells and organisms. New York, NY: UNSCEAR: Document A/AC.82/R.542.
- 67. Ward, J.F. (1988) DNA damage produced by ionizing radiation in mammalian cells:



- Identities, mechanisms of formation, and repairability. Progress in Nucleic Acid Research & Molecular Biology 35:95-125.
- 68. Wei, L. (1997) High background radiation area-an important source of exploring the health effects of low dose ionizing radiation. In: Wei, L.; Sugahara, T.; Tao, Z., ed. High Levels of Natural Radiation 1996: Radiation Dose and Health Effects. Beijing, China; Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier: 1-14; 58-59, and 63-66.
- 69. White, R.G.; Raabe, O.G.; Culbertson, M.R.; Parks, N. J.; Samuels, S.J.; Rosenblatt, L. S. (1994) Bone sarcoma characteristics and distribution in beagles injected with radium-226. Radiation Research 137: 361-370.
- 70. Wielopolski, L.; Bond V. P. (1996) Complementary sigmoid and functions and their impact on the radiation LNH. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 10-14 November 996, Washington, DC 75:411-412.
- 71. Wolff, S. (1996) The adaptive response in radiation biology: Evolving insights and implications. In: Proceedings of the 5th annual BELLE conference, 12-14 November 1996, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- 72. Yalow, R. S. (1994) Concerns with low level ionizing radiation. In: American Nuclear Society Transactions, 13-17 November 1994, Washington, DC 71:32-33.

The American Nuclear Society, founded in 1954, is a not-for-profit scientific and educational society of over 11,000 scientists, engineers, and educators from universities, government and private laboratories, and industry.

Position Statements are the considered opinions and judgments of the Society in matters related to nuclear science and technology. They are intended to provide an objective basis for weighing the facts in reaching decisions on important national issues